## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5935 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
- 3. Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of judgment?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

\_\_\_\_\_

MIYANA UMAR SULEMAN

Versus

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MS DR KACHHAVAH for Petitioner

Mr Kamal Mehta, AGP, for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE N.N.MATHUR Date of decision: 06/11/96

## ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is now well settled that merely because the detenu is a bootlegger, he cannot be preventively detained under the provisions of Gujarat Prevention of

Anti-Social Activities Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as 'the PASA Act') unless, as laid down in sub-section (4) of section 3 of the Act, his activities as a bootlegger affect adversely or are likely to affect adversely the maintenance of public order. Reference may be made to a decision of the Apex Court in the case of Piyush v. Police Commissioner, Ahmedabad, reported in AIR 1989 SC 491. With the assistance of the learned Advocates, I have gone through the material available on record. There is nothing to show that the activities of the petitioner as a bootlegger, has affected adversely or likely to affect adversely the maintenance of public order. Thus, in my view, the order of detention is illegal and is not sustainable.

2. In view of the aforesaid, this Special Civil Application is allowed and the order of detention dated 28.6.1996 is quashed and set aside. The petitioner-detenu shall be released forthwith if not required in any other case.

Rule made absolute accordingly.

\*\*\*

/mohd/